

Audit and feedback versus other interventions															
Study dichotomous outcomes	Comparison	Outcome	N health professional	AF before	Other intervention before	AF after	Other intervention after	RD: Unadjust	RD: adjust	RR: Unadjust	RR: Adjusted	Study quality	Intensity of A&F	Complexity/difficult-ness of changing behaviour	Serious-ness of behaviour
Lomas 1991	AF vs opinion leader + education	% women offered trial of labor	57			56.30	74.20	17.90	17.90	1.32	1.32	moderate	high	high	
Mainous 2000	AF vs patient education	% antibiotic prescriptions for viral respiratory infections in children	60	29	31	43	43	0.00	-2.00	1.00	0.94	moderate	moderate	moderate	
Tierney 1986 IBD	AF vs reminder	% compliance with preventive care guidelines	64			22	30	8.00	8.00	1.36	1.36				moderate
Robling 4G	AF vs practice-based seminar	% compliance with guidelines for MRI lumbar spine and knee				67.00	79.00	12.00	12.00	1.18	1.18				
Continuous outcomes								Post difference	Adj change	Prosent change relative to control after					
Martin 3G	AF vs incentives	mean tests per patient hospitalisation		107	112	51	100	49.00	44.00	86%					
Eccles 4G	A&F vs reminders	number of radiograph requested for knee concordant with guideline	34 in four groups					0.96	1.07	18%				moderate	low
Patient outcomes				AF before	Other intervention before	AF after	Other intervention after	RD: Unadjust	RD: adjust	RR: Unadjust	RR: Adjusted				
Dickinson 1981	A&F vs self-study education	% patients with controlled blood pressure	20	41	54	71	82	11.00	-2.00	1.15	0.88	moderate	moderate	moderate	
Lomas 1991	AF vs opinion leader + education	% women with vaginal birth	57			11.80	25.30	13.50	13.50	2.14		moderate	high	high	